DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 440 323 CG 029 857

Bartlett, Alyssa; Brackin, Taryn; Chubb, Jamie; Covata, AUTHOR

Sandy; Ferguson, Liz; Hinckley, Adele; Hodges, Jilda;

Liberati, Cheryl; Tornetta, Jonette; Chambliss, Catherine

TITLE Correcting Media Mis-Education: The Portrayal of Smokers and

Smoking in Top Grossing Films.

PUB DATE 2000-00-00

NOTE 12p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

Age Differences; *Films; *Mass Media Effects; Physical DESCRIPTORS

Attractiveness; Self Esteem; Sex Differences; *Smoking

ABSTRACT

Given that young people are extremely concerned with how they appear socially, beguiling and glamorous portrayals of smokers in recent films may be contributing to the continual rise in college student smoking. The pervasive positive depiction of smokers as attractive and appealing easily preys on young people who Tack confidence and self esteem. It is imperative to assess whether the trend of increased smoking among youth is continuing so that steps can be taken to reverse it. This study focuses on portrayals of smokers and smoking for movies released between 1996 and 1999. A group of trained raters evaluated five movies for each year. The movies were examined for relevant characteristics, including movie genre, approximate running time, and total number of cigarettes seen during the film. Demographics, including age, gender, and smoking status, were recorded for first, second, and third leading characters. Characters were evaluated on several dimensions, including: insecure/confident, unattractive/attractive, unintelligent/intelligent, and unpopular/popular. Results show that 75% of the movies sampled depicted cigarette smoking. Approximately 20% of the leading characters, of whom 85% were male, smoked cigarettes; 26% of the second and third main characters smoked. Among secondary main characters, more males than females smoked; among tertiary main characters, smoking was equal for males and females. Comparisons of personality ratings for smoking versus nonsmoking characters showed significant differences on two variables. Nonsmoking characters were viewed as more considerate and less selfish than smoking characters; although not significant, there was also a trend for nonsmokers to be viewed as more kind and less cruel. (Contains 32 references.) (MKA)



Correcting Media Mis-education:

The Portrayal of Smokers and Smoking in Top Grossing Films

Alyssa Bartlett, Taryn Brackin, Jamie Chubb Sandy Covata, Liz Ferguson, Adele Hinckley Jilda Hodges, Cheryl Liberati, Jonette Tornetta Catherine Chambliss

Ursinus College

2000

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improveme EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization

- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Introduction

Throughout the last three decades, there has been a noticeable shift in the patterns of cigarette smoking among different populations. While older Americans are smoking less, there has been an upsurge of smoking among the young adult population, during the 1990s, this was found to be especially true among college students (Johnston, O'Malley, & Bachman, 1996;Hines, Fretz, & Nolan, 1998; Moore, 1998; Wechsler et al., 1998). While numerous factors influence young people's decision to smoke, the media typically plays a prominent role. Popular tobacco promotional items, magazine advertisements, and certain scenes in movies all encourage positive attitudes towards msoking and foster experimentation with tobacco.

In evaluating media influences, Altman et al. (1996) found that when an adolescent owned a tobacco promotional item and had a friend who owned a promotional item, the chances were 21.8 times greater that this person would become a smoker than a person for whom these tobacco-endorsing items were absent. This finding has contributed to the belief that cigarette advertisements may make an enormous contribution to early smoking initiation (Reid, 1985; Potts, Gillies, & Herbert, 1986; Zinser, Kloosterman, & Williams, 1994, Department of Health and Human Services, 1994; Moore, 1998). Youths' environments were found to be saturated with pro-smoking messages, especially through images presented in magazines (Schooler, Feighery, & Flora, 1996). Magazine advertisements for tobacco products frequently portray exciting, adventurous scenes depicting smokers as glamorous and appealing (Zinser, Kloosterman, & Williams, 1991; Hines et al., 1998; Moore, 1998). A study by Zinser et al. (1991)



discovered that both college student smokers and nonsmokers rated cigarette advertisements as more adventurous in comparison with advertisements for other products. Magazine ad content analyses validated the notion that advertisements were developed by the smoking industry to depict smokers as attractive, athletic, and lively (Albright et al, 1988; Altman et al., 1987; Zinser et al., 1991). Adolescence is a time of preoccupation with one's social image; understandably, many adolescents fall prey to the underlying suggestion that smoking will enhance their allure (Zinser et al., 1991). Past research has revealed that the top-selling cigarette brands that are smoked by the younger population are also the most heavily advertised (Moore, 1998; King et al., 1998).

While tobacco companies use favorable advertisements to promote cigarette smoking, films, too, consistently display smokers in this positive manner. During the 1990's, there has been an increasing amount of attention devoted to portrayal of cigarette usage in films. Hazan, Lipton, & Glantz (1994) found that 80% of new film releases portray smoking in association with positive movie character attributes such as youthfulness, attractiveness, and overall social acceptability. Notably numerous recent films depict exciting scenes involving attractive characters smoking (Chapman & Eggers, 1983). Many of these films cause the audience to misperceive the reality of smoking. Despite the fact that a lower socioeconomic status is associated with smoking in real life (Stronks et al., 1997), the socioeconomic status of smokers in films has apparently increased during the 1990s. One study found that 57% of movie characters of high social standing smoke, yet only 19% of high socioeconomic status individuals actually smoke (Hazan, Lipton, & Glantz, 1994). During the 1980s, 17% of smokers in films were portrayed as having a high socioeconomic status, while that figure rose to 30% in the



1990s (Stockwell & Glantz, 1998). This distorted presentation leads many young people to have an unrealistic view of smoking, wrongly concluding that it is widely accepted and encouraged by the larger society (Pechmann & Shih, 1999).

Negative aspects of smoking, such as adverse health consequences and concern about harmful second hand smoke, are usually ignored in films (Shogren, 1997).

Stockwell and Glantz (1997) found that negative health or social effects were only portrayed 14% of the time. Research has found that smoking scenes lead viewers to believe that smoking is attractive and increased their willingness to smoke (Pechmann & Shih, 1999). Scenes containing characters of high social status who smoke may alter the viewers' cognitions about smokers.

High arousal scenes frequently create durable associations between smoking and status of thrilling excitement in audiences. According to the Excitation Transfer Theory, smoking scenes which invoke a high positive arousal can transfer that favorable effect of the scene onto the viewer (Zillmann, 1971). The audience watching scenes depicting high levels of excitement is prone to misconstrue the cause of their arousal, misattributing the high arousal to other available stimulus cues and evaluating these stimuli more positively. For instance, viewing a scene containing high energy, such as a party scene, with many characters smoking, may cause the audience to misattribute the positive energy and enjoyment to smoking.

Simple repeated exposure to something or someone is often powerful enough to increase attraction. This phenomenon, known as the Mere Exposure Effect, states that the more often someone is exposed to a stimulus, the more favorably they begin to evaluate that stimulus (Zajonc, 1968). Because the majority of recent film releases



depict cigarette smoking, this phenomenon may cause avid movie viewers to begin viewing smoking in a more favorable light. Repeated exposure to a product can encourage a consumer to unconsciously become more attracted towards it. Research has shown that even when the viewer cannot recall the initial exposure, preattentive processes begin to establish favorable connections to the target stimulus (Janiszewski, 1993). Therefore, any scenes containing cigarette usage may lead viewers to develop more favorable attitudes towards smoking.

Positive portrayals of smoking in films can be potentially destructive for today's young people. Regardless of the maturity of particular adolescents and young adults, their views can still be significantly swayed by their cultural context. In fact, social factors have been found to play a very prominent role in a young person's decision to smoke. Peer smoking behavior has been implicated as an influential contributor to teenager's smoking (Biglan et al., 1983; Castro et al., 1987; Charlton & Blair, 1989; Covey & Tam, 1990; Thrush et al., 1997; Moore, 1998). Often adolescents believe that if they smoke they will be perceived positively in terms of sophistication, attractiveness, and/or social successfulness by their peers (Barton et al., 1982; Burton et al., 1989; Thrush et al., 1997; Moore, 1998). This is not only true of adolescents, but of college students as well. Research by Bartlett et al. (1999) suggests that concern about appearing sophisticated, mature, and attractive figure prominently in the decision of college students to smoke.

Given that young people are extremely concerned with how they appear socially, beguiling and glamorous portrayals of smokers in recent films may be contributing to the continual rise in college student smoking. The pervasive positive depiction of smokers as



attractive and appealing easily preys on those young people who lack confidence and self-esteem or are in search of ways to increase their allure and popularity. Improving one's outward appearance becomes a primary reason for many to begin or continue smoking. In movies released between 1990 and 1996, tobacco was viewed once every three to five minutes, an all-time high rate seen on film (Stockwell & Glantz, 1997) Because of the increasing rate of smoking among our youth, it is imperative to assess whether this trend is continuing so steps can be taken to reverse it. This study extended the Stockwell & Glantz (1997) analysis, by focusing on portrayals of smokers and smoking for movies released between 1996 and 1999.

Methods

A list of the twenty top grossing movies from the years 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999 was obtained. From this list five movies from each year were randomly selected. A group of trained raters evaluated the movies for relevant characteristics, including movie genre, approximate running time, and total number of cigarettes seen during the film.

Demographics were recorded for the first, second, and third leading characters includingo age, gender, and smoking status. In response to the query "How is the character generally portrayed?", raters used a three-point Likert scale (1 being the least positive) to evaluate each character on the following dimensions: selfish/considerate, cruel/kind, rebellious/compliant, crude/sophisticated, poor/wealthy, insecure/confident, angry/happy, unattractive/attractive, tense/relaxed, immature/mature, unintelligent/intelligent, and unpopular/popular.



Results

Seventy-five percent of the 1996-1999 movies sampled depicted cigarette smoking. However, only approximately 20% of the leading characters (85% of whom were male) in the movies sampled smoked cigarettes. Twenty-six percent of the second and third main characters smoked. Among secondary main characters, more males smoked (63%) than females, and among tertiary main characters smoking was equal for males and females. Only 5% of the movies sampled featured three or more main characters who smoked.

A one-way ANOVA was used to compare each of the four years' of films in terms of the total number of cigarette smoking episodes portrayed in each film. No significant differences across years were found.

Comparisons of personality ratings for smoking versus nonsmoking characters showed significant differences on two variables. Nonsmoking characters were viewed as more considerate (p<.01) and less selfish (p<.01) than smoking characters. Though not significant, there was also a trend for nonsmokers to be viewed as more kind and less cruel (p=.09).

Discussion

The current findings indicate that the majority of late 1990s movies expose members of the audience to role models who smoke cigarettes. Given the growing consensus regarding the negative health effects of smoking, and findings demonstrating that positive portrayals of smoking in advertisements induce people to start smoking, the high frequency of smoking observed in the movies sampled is somewhat alarming.

Routine witnessing of movie characters' smoking may subtly alter attitudes toward this



behavior; increased acceptance of smoking may be a consequence of this steady exposure because affinity tends to increase with exposure and familiarity.

However, the present analyses also showed that only a minority of the leading characters were smokers, which may attenuate these negative effects somewhat. Perhaps the movie industry may be refraining from including smoking in the characterization of leading men in order to reduce negative influences on viewers. Consistent with this is the finding that only a small minority of films included three or more main characters who smoked cigarettes.

It is reasonable to assume that the type of role a smoker plays influences the impact of the film character's smoking status on the viewer. When highly charismatic, attractive, compelling characters are seen smoking cigarettes, the audience may be more likely to view smoking positively. In contrast, when unsavory, antisocial, unscrupulous characters are shown smoking, this may serve as a deterrent to the audience's smoking. The current study found a tendency for the negative personality attributes of selfishness, cruelty, and inconsiderateness to be linked with smoking behavior in the movies sampled. This negative portrayal of film smokers may actually serve to decrease the perceived attractiveness of smoking to many viewers. Future research should explore the generalizability of this finding, and assess this hypothesized impact on the audience.

Although smoking prevalence in movies increased through the early 90's, this study indicates a leveling off towards the end of the decade. Perhaps the use of tobacco in films is decreasing as a result of the increased awareness of the harmful effects of misleading images of smoking and decreased acceptance of smoking among older adults.



References

- Albright, C.L., Altman, D.G., Slater, M.D., & Maccoby, N. (1988). Cigarette advertisements in magazines. Evidence for a differential focus on women's and youth magazines. Health Education Quarterly, 15 (2), 225-233.
- Altman, D.G., Levine, D.W., Coeytaux, R., Slade, J., & Jaffe, R. (1996). Tobacco promotion and susceptibility to tobacco use among adolescents aged 12 through 17 years in a nationally representative sample. <u>American Journal of Public Health</u>, 86 (11), 1590-1593.
- Altman, D.G., Slater, M.D., Albright, C.L., & Maccoby, N. (1987). How an unhealthy product is sold. Cigarette advertising in magazines. 1960-1985. <u>Journal of Communication</u>, 37 (4), 95-106.
- Barton, J., Chassin, L., Presson, C.C., & Sherman, S.J. (1982). Social image factors as motivators of smoking initiation in early and middle adolescence. Child Development, 53, 1499-1511.
- Bartlett, A., Brackin, T., Chubb, J., Covata, S., Ferguson, L., Hinckley, A., Hodges, J., Liberati, C., Tornetta, J., & Chambliss, C. (1999). Factors influencing and motivating smoking among college students. Ursinus College.
- Biglan, A., Severson, H., Bavry, J., & McConnell, S. (1983). Social influence and adolescent smoking. Health Education, 14 (5), 14-18.
- Burton, D., Sussman, S., Hansen, W.B., Johnson, C. A., & Flay, B.R. (1989): Image attributions and smoking among seventh-grade students. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 19, 656-664.
- Castro, F.G., Maddahian, E., Newcomb, M.D., & Bentler, P.M. (1987). A multivariate model of the determinants of cigarette smoking among adolescents. <u>Journal of Health and Social Behavior</u>, 28 (9), 273-289.
- Chapman, S., & Eggers, G. (1994). Myth in cigarette advertising and health promotion. In H. Davis & P. Walton (Ed.) <u>Languages, Images, Media.</u> (pp. 166-186). London: Blackwell.
- Charlton, A. & Blair, V. (1989). Predicting the onset of smoking in boys and girls. <u>Social Science and Medicine</u>, 29, 813-818.
- Covey, L.S. & Tam, D. (1990). Depressive mood, the single-parent home, and adolescent cigarette smoking. American Journal of Public Health, 80 (11), 1330-1333.
- Department of Health and Human Services. (1994). Preventing tobacco use among young people: A report of the surgeon general. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.



- Hazan, A.R., Lipton, H.L., & Glantz, S.A. (1994). Popular films do not reflect current tobacco use. American Journal of Public Health, 84 (6), 998-1000.
- Hines, D., Fretz, A., & Nollen, N.L. (1998). Regular and occasional smoking by college students: Personality attributions of smokers and nonsmokers. <u>Psychological Reports</u>, 83, 1299-1306.
- Ivis, F.J., & Adlaf, E.M. (1999). A comparison of trends in drug use among students in the USA and Ontario, Canada: from 1975-1997. <u>Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy, 6</u> (1), 17-27.
- Janiszewski, C. (1993). Preattentive mere exposure effects. <u>Journal of Consumer Research</u>, 20 (3), 376-417.
- Johnston, L.D., O'Malley, P.M., & Bachman, J.G. (1996). National survey results on drug use from the monitoring the future study, 1975-1995: Volume 1, secondary school students. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, NIH publication 96-4139.
- King III, C., Siegel, M., Celebucki, C., & Connolly, G.N. (1998). Adolescent exposure to cigarette advertising in magazines: An evaluation of brand-specific advertising in relation to youth readership. <u>Journal of the American Medical Association</u>, 279 (7), 516-520.
- Moore, E. (1998, March 10). Kicking the habit despite the dangers, twelve million people in the UK smoke. The Guardian, pp.8.
- Pechmann, C., & Shih, C. (1999). Smoking scenes in movies and antismoking advertisements before movies: effects on youth. <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, 63 (3), 1+. Retrieved September 22, 1999 from Expanded Academic ASAP (InfoTrac) on the World Wide Web; http://www.infotrac.galegroup.com/itweb/
- Potts, H., Gillies, P., & Herbert, M. (1986). Adolescent smoking and opinion of cigarette advertisements. Health Education Research: Theory and Practice, 1 (3), 195-201.
- Reid, D. (1985). Prevention of smoking among school children: Recommendations for policy development. <u>Health Education Journal</u>, 44 (1), 3-12.
- Schooler, C., Feighery, E., & Flora, J.A. (1996). Seventh graders' self-reported exposure to cigarette marketing and its relationship to their smoking behavior. <u>American Journal of Public Health, 86</u> (2), 225-230.
 - Shogren, E. (1997). Hollywood is urged to act on smoking. <u>Los Angeles Times</u>, p.A25.
- Stockwell, T.F., & Glantz, S.A. (1997). Tobacco use is increasing in popular films. Tobacco Control, 6, 282-284.



- Stronks, K., van de Mheen, D., Looman, C.W.N., & Mackenbach, J.P. (1997). Cultural, material, and psychosocial correlates of the socioeconomic gradient in smoking behavior among adults. Preventive Medicine, 26, 754-766.
- Thrush, D., Fife-Shaw, C., & Breakwell, G.M. (1997). Young people's representation of others' views of smoking. Is there a link with smoking behavior. <u>Journal of Adolescence</u>, 20, 57-70.
- Wechsler, H., Rigotti, N.A., Gledhill-Hoyt, J., & Lee, H. (1998). Increased levels of cigarette use among college student smokers, former smokers, and nonsmokers. <u>Journal of Social Behavior and Personality</u>, 6 (2), 355-366.
- Zajonc, R.B. (1968). Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Monograph Supplement</u>, 9 (2), 1-27.
- Zillmann, D. (1971). Excitation transfer in communication-mediated aggressive behavior. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 7 (4), 419-434.
- Zinser, O., Kloosterman, R., & Williams, A. (1991). Perceptions of cigarette advertisements by college student smokers, former smokers, and nonsmokers. <u>Journal of Social Behavior and Personality</u>, 6 (2), 355-366.
- Zinser, O., Kloosterman, R., & Williams, A. (1994). Advertisements, volition, and peers among other causes of smoking: Perceptions of college student smokers. <u>Journal of Alcohol and Drug Education</u>, 39 (3), 13-26.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

l.	DO	CU	MEN.	T ID	ENT	ΓIF	ICA	١T١	O	N	:
----	----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	---	---	---

i. DOCOMENT IDENTIFICATION		
	Mis-education: The	Portrayal of
Smokers + Smokers A	n Top Grossing T	FIMS
	hubb, Covata Ferguson, Kinc	kley, Hodges, LiberatisTorne
Corporate Source:	s College	Publication Date:
Ursinc	2000	
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res	imely and significant materials of interest to the eduction (RIE), are usually made availab C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Crediting notices is affixed to the document.	le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy
If permission is granted to reproduce and disser of the page.	minate the identified document, please CHECK ONE o	
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
san	Samir	Sar
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
	1	Ţ
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality per produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proces	

	I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexc as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic r contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made f to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.	nedia by persons other than ERIC employees and its system
Sign here,→	Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Charlo Charlos Charles P.D. Psyurlegy
please	Organization/Address: Dept of Psychology Ursinus Lollex	Tejephone: (60)409 3000 (20)489 0627
IC.	Ursinus Lollege	E-Mail Address: (chamb 1.75 @ Date: 4/14/00
ovided by ERIC	Collegeville, PA 19426	Ursinus.edu (over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price:	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER: If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate address:	
Name:	
Address:	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of NC Greensboro ERIC/CASS 201 Ferguson Bldg., UNCG PO Box 26171 Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

PHEVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.